

The Picard group of the forms of the affine line and of the additive group

Raphaël Achet *

Abstract

We obtain an explicit upper bound on the torsion of the Picard group of the forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and their regular completions. We also obtain a sufficient condition for the Picard group of the forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 to be nontrivial and we give examples of nontrivial forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 with trivial Picard groups.

Keywords: Picard group; Picard functor; Jacobian; Unipotent group; imperfect field; Torsor.

MSC2010 Classification Codes: 20G15; 20G07; 14R10; 14C22; 14K30.

Contents

1	Forms of the affine line and of the additive group	4
1.1	Regular completion and invariants	4
1.2	Structure of the forms of the additive group	5
1.3	Examples	6
1.4	Arithmetic genus of the regular completion	8
2	Picard group of the forms of the affine line	12
2.1	Picard group of the forms of the affine line and of their regular completion	12
2.2	Proof of the main theorem	13
2.3	Examples of forms of the affine line with trivial Picard group	14
3	Cocartesian diagram and Picard functor	15
3.1	The unit group scheme	15
3.2	Rigidified Picard functors	17
3.3	An exact sequence of Picard schemes	19
4	Picard functor of the regular completion	20
4.1	Torsion of the Picard functor	20
4.2	Application to the Picard functor of the regular completion	21
4.3	Rigidified Picard functor	22

*Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut Fourier, CS 40700, 38058 Grenoble cedex 09.
raphael.achet@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

Introduction and statement of the main results

With the recent progress in the structure of linear algebraic groups over an imperfect field [CGP15] [Tot13], it seems to be possible to study their Picard group if the Picard groups of unipotent algebraic groups are known well enough. As every unipotent smooth connected algebraic group is an iterated extension of forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ [SGAIII2, XVII 4.1.1], this motivates the study of the Picard group of forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.

In this article, we consider more generally forms of the affine line, since our geometric approach applies to this setting without additional difficulties.

Let k be a field, let X, Y be schemes (resp. group schemes) over k . Recall that X is a form of Y if there is a field $K \supset k$ such that the scheme (resp. group scheme) X_K is isomorphic to Y_K . We also recall that the affine line \mathbb{A}_k^1 is the k -scheme $\text{Spec}(k[t])$; and the additive group $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ is the algebraic group of underlying scheme $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec}(k[t])$, which represent the group functor:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Sch}/k)^\circ &\rightarrow (\text{Groups}) \\ T &\mapsto (\mathcal{O}(T), +). \end{aligned}$$

If k is a perfect field, all forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ are trivial. But nontrivial forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ exist over every imperfect field k ; their structure has been studied by P. Russell [Rus70], G. Greither [Gre86], T. Kambayashi, M. Miyanishi, and M. Takeuchi [KMT74] and [KM77]. In [Gre86] and [KMT74] the Picard group of some special forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ is described [KMT74, Lem. 6.12.2] and [Gre86, Lem. 5.6]. In [KM77] T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi have continued the study of the forms of the affine line, they have proved numerous results on the forms of the affine line and on their Picard group [KM77, Th. 4.2], [KM77, Pro. 4.3.2] and [KM77, Cor. 4.6.1].

More recently B. Totaro has obtained an explicit description of the class of extensions of a smooth connected unipotent group U by the multiplicative group as a subgroup of $\text{Pic}(U)$ [Tot13, Lem. 9.2]. He has then applied this description to the structure of commutative pseudo-reductive groups [Tot13, Lem. 9.4] and [Tot13, Cor. 9.5]. Moreover he has constructed an example of a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}^2$, such that $\text{Pic}(U_{k_s})$ is trivial [Tot13, Exa. 9.7].

In this article, we revisit and improve some of the results of [KM77] and [Gre86] with different methods.

Given a form X of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , it is known that there exists a finite purely inseparable extension K of k such that $X_K \cong \mathbb{A}_K^1$; then $\text{Pic}(X)$ is p^m -torsion, where $p^m := [K : k]$ (see e.g. [Bri15, Lem. 2.4]). Our main theorem yields a sharper result:

Theorem (2.4). *Let X be a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , and let $n(X)$ be the smallest non-negative integer such that $X_{k^{p^{-n}}} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k^{p^{-n}}}^1$.*

- (i) $\text{Pic}(X)$ is $p^{n(X)}$ -torsion.
- (ii) If X has a k -rational point (e.g. X is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ or k is separably closed), then $\text{Pic}(X) \neq \{0\}$.

Assertion (i) is stated by T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi in [KM77, Pro. 4.2.2], but their proof is only valid when k is separably closed. The arguments of our proof of assertion (i) are quite general: we use them to obtain a bound on the torsion of

the Picard groups of some higher dimensional k -varieties (Proposition 2.6). T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi have also shown that the exponent of the Picard group of a form of the affine line having a k -rational point is at least $p^{n(X)}$ (see [KM77, Pro. 4.2.3]); this implies assertion (ii). We provide a short alternative proof of that assertion.

A form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 does not necessary have a k -rational point. In Subsection 2.3 we present an explicit example of such a form with trivial Picard group (Lemma 2.8), and a more general construction (Proposition 2.10).

If G is a smooth affine algebraic group, then G is said to be *special* if for any field extension K of k , any G_K -torsor $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ is trivial. We will show that no nontrivial form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ is a special algebraic group. This result has already been shown by D. T. Nguyễn [Ngu16], we are going to use a different method: we see it as a Corollary of our main Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.10.

Next, we consider the regular completion C of the curve X . The Picard groups of C and X are linked by a standard exact sequence (2.1.1). We obtain the following result on the Picard functor $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$:

Theorem (4.4). *Let X be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and C be the regular completion of X . Let $n'(X)$ be the smallest non-negative integer n such that the function field of $X_{k^{p^{-n}}}$ is isomorphic to $k^{p^{-n}}(t)$. Let k' be the unique minimal field extension of k such that $X_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$.*

Then $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is a smooth connected unipotent algebraic group, which is of $p^{n'(X)}$ -torsion, k -wound and splits over k' .

In addition if X is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ and $p \neq 2$, then k' is the minimal field extension of k such that $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ splits over k' .

The full statement of Theorem 4.4 also contain an upper bound on the dimension of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ for a class of forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , but its formulation requires additional notations. This upper bound is obtained by computing the arithmetic genus of some curve in some weighted projective plane (Theorem 1.25).

The fact that $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is smooth and k -wound is a direct consequence of results obtained in [BLR90, Chap.8 and Chap.9]. The fact that $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is unipotent is obtained in [KMT74, Th. 6.6.10]. We have the inequality $n(X) \geq n'(X)$, so Theorem 4.4 yields a better bound on the torsion of $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ than Theorem 2.4 (see the exact sequence (2.1.3)). T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi obtained that the exponent of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is $p^{n'(X)}$ [KM77, Cor. 4.6.1]; this implies our result on the torsion of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$. We will provide an alternative proof of this result.

Conventions: We consider a field k , unless explicitly stated, k is of characteristic $p > 0$. We choose an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k , and we denote by $k_s \subset \bar{k}$ the separable closure of k in \bar{k} . For any non-negative integer n , we denote the field $\{x \in \bar{k} | x^{p^n} \in k\}$ by $k^{p^{-n}}$.

For every scheme X , we denote the structural sheaf of X by \mathcal{O}_X . We denote the ring of regular functions on X by $\mathcal{O}(X)$, and the multiplicative group of invertible regular functions on X by $\mathcal{O}(X)^*$. For every $x \in X$, we denote the stalk of \mathcal{O}_X at x by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, and the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ by $\kappa(x)$.

The morphisms considered between two k -schemes are morphisms over k . An *algebraic variety* is a scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec}(k)$. For every field extension K of k , we denote the base change $X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(K)$ by X_K . For every integral variety X , we denote the function field of X by $\kappa(X)$. A group scheme of finite

type over k will be called an *algebraic group*. A group scheme locally of finite type over k will be called a *locally algebraic group*.

A smooth connected unipotent algebraic group U over k is said to be *k -split* if U has a central composition series with successive quotients that are $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. A smooth connected unipotent algebraic group U over k is said to be *k -wound* if every k -morphism $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow U$ is constant with image a point of $U(k)$ (an equivalent definition is: U does not have a central subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ [CGP15, Pro. B.3.2]).

1 Forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$

1.1 Regular completion and invariants

In this first Subsection we introduce some notations and gather some results from P. Russell's article [Rus70] that we will use in the rest of the article.

For every X form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , we denote the regular completion of X by C i.e., the unique projective regular curve such that there is an open dominant immersion $j : X \rightarrow C$ satisfying the following universal property: for every morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to a proper scheme Y there exists a unique morphism $\hat{f} : C \rightarrow Y$ such that $\hat{f} \circ j = f$ [GW10, Th. 15.21].

Lemma 1.1. [Rus70, 1.1]

Let X be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , let C be the regular completion of X .

- (i) $C \setminus X$ is a point denoted P_∞ , which is purely inseparable over k .
- (ii) There is a unique minimal field extension k' such that $X_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$, and k' is purely inseparable of finite degree over k .

Let φ_k be the Frobenius morphism of k , i.e. the morphism

$$\varphi_k : x \in k \mapsto x^p \in k.$$

In the following we will write φ for φ_k .

If X is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , then by definition $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ with R a k -algebra such that $R \otimes_k k' \cong k'[t]$. For every non-negative integer n , we consider

$$\begin{aligned} F_R^n : R \otimes_k k &\rightarrow R \\ r \otimes x &\mapsto xr^{p^n} \end{aligned}$$

with k seen as a k -algebra via φ^n , the n th power of φ .

The morphism F_R^n corresponds at the scheme level to the n th relative Frobenius morphism F_X^n . We denote the base change $X \times_{\text{Spec}(k)} \text{Spec}(k)$ with k seen as a k -algebra via φ^n by $X^{(p^n)}$, in other words $X^{(p^n)}$ is isomorphic to the base change of X by $k^{p^{-n}}$. We can then write

$$F_X^n : X \rightarrow X^{(p^n)}.$$

Lemma 1.2. [Rus70, 1.3]

There is an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $X^{(p^n)} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k^{p^{-n}}}^1$.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 .

- (i) The smallest non-negative integer n such that $X^{(p^n)} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k^{p^{-n}}}^1$ is denoted $n(X)$.

- (ii) The smallest non-negative integer n such that $\kappa(X^{(p^n)}) \cong k^{p^{-n}}(t)$ is denoted $n'(X)$.
- (iii) The point P_∞ is purely inseparable (Lemma 1.1), let $r(X)$ be the integer such that $\deg(P_\infty) = p^{r(X)}$.

Remark 1.4. (i) We have $n(X) \geq n'(X)$, we will show in Example 1.19 that this inequality can be strict (but equality holds if X is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ and if $p \neq 2$ according to Lemma 1.18).

- (ii) We write n for $n(X)$. Then the morphism F_X^n extends to a finite dominant morphism $\mathcal{F}_X^n : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k^{p^{-n}}}^1$ of degree p^n [Rus70, Lem 1.3]. And $p^{r(X)}$ is the residue class degree of the valuation associated to P_∞ in $\kappa(C)$, so

$$p^{r(X)} = [\kappa(P_\infty) : k] \leq \left[\kappa(C) : \kappa\left(\mathbb{P}_{k^{p^{-n}}}^1\right) \right] = p^n.$$

Hence $r(X) \leq n(X)$.

Definition 1.5. We write $m(X)$ for the positive integer such that the image of the group morphism $\deg : \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is $m(X)\mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 1.6. $m(X)$ is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of the residue fields of the closed points of C , in particular $m(X)$ divides $[\kappa(P_\infty) : k] = p^{r(X)}$. So $m(X)$ is a power of p and $m(X) \leq p^{r(X)}$.

We have shown the following relations between the above invariants:

Lemma 1.7.

$$\begin{aligned} n(X) &\geq \max(n'(X), r(X)) \\ m(X) &| p^{r(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Structure of the forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$

In this Subsection we will gather some results mainly from P. Russell's article [Rus70] on the structure of the forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ and on the reasons why a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 can fail to have a group structure.

We write F for $F_{\mathbb{G}_{a,k}}^1$, the relative Frobenius endomorphism. Then F is an endomorphisms of the k -group scheme $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.

Theorem 1.8. [Rus70, 2.1]

Let G be a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. Then G is isomorphic to the subgroup $\text{Spec}(k[x, y]/I)$ of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}^2$, where I is the ideal of $k[x, y]$ generated by the separable polynomial $y^{p^n} - (x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m})$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_m \in k$. Equivalently, G is the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}_{a,k}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,k} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto y^{p^n} - (x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.1}$$

Thus, we can see G as a fibre product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{a,k} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ \mathbb{G}_{a,k} & \xrightarrow{F^n} & \mathbb{G}_{a,k} \end{array}$$

where $\tau = 1 + a_1F + \cdots + a_mF^m$. Similarly, any G defined by such a product is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.

Remark 1.9. Let G be a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. The proof of [Rus70, Th. 2.1] shows that in the equation (1.2.1) we can choose n to be $n(G)$.

Recall that any smooth connected unipotent algebraic group splits after base change by a finite purely inseparable extension [DG70, Cor. IV § 2 3.9]. In the particular case of the forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, we have the following more precise result:

Corollary 1.10. [Rus70, 2.3.1]

If G is the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation $y^{p^n} = x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m}$, then $k' := k(a_1^{p^{-n}}, \dots, a_m^{p^{-n}})$ is the smallest extension of k such that $G_{k'} \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,k'}$.

If X is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , then P. Russell showed in his article [Rus70] that there are two reasons for X to fail to have a group structure. Firstly X may not have a k -rational point. Secondly X_{k_s} may have only finitely many automorphisms.

Proposition 1.11. [KMT74, 6.9.1]

The following conditions on a form X of \mathbb{A}_k^1 with a k -rational point P_0 are equivalent:

- (i) X has a group structure with neutral point P_0 .
- (ii) X is isomorphic as a scheme to a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.
- (iii) $\text{Aut}(X_{k_s})$ is infinite.

Proposition 1.12. [Rus70, 4.1]

If X is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 such that X_{k_s} admits a group structure. Then X is a principal homogeneous space for a form G of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ determined uniquely by X . Moreover $X = \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/I)$, $G = \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/J)$ where the ideals I and J are generated respectively by $y^{p^n} - b - f(x)$ and $y^{p^n} - f(x)$ with $b \in k$ and $f(x) := x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m}$. Conversely, if X and G are defined as above, then X is a principal homogeneous space for G .

Remark 1.13. P. Russell in [Rus70] and T. Kambayashi, M. Miyanishi, and M. Takeuchi in [KMT74] have classified all forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 over a separably closed field such that the regular completion has arithmetic genus ≤ 1 .

M. Rosenlicht [KMT74, 6.9.3] has found an example of a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 with only finitely many automorphisms, of genus $(p-1)/2$ for all $p > 2$.

More recently, T. Asanuma [Asa05, Th. 8.1] has found an explicit algebraic presentation of the forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , for every field k of characteristic $p > 2$.

1.3 Examples

Let X be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . First we will compare the minimal field k' such that $X_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$ and the residue field $\kappa(P_\infty)$ of P_∞ . There is an inclusion $\kappa(P_\infty) \subset k'$, which may be strict, as shown by the example below.

Example 1.14. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p(t_1, t_2)$. If G is the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation

$$y^{p^2} = x + t_1x^p + t_2x^{p^2}.$$

Then C is defined as a curve of \mathbb{P}_k^2 by the equation

$$y^{p^2} = xz^{p^2-1} + t_1x^p z^{p^2-p} + t_2x^{p^2}.$$

In this case $\kappa(P_\infty) = k(t_2^{p-2}) \subsetneq k' = k(t_1^{p-2}, t_2^{p-2})$.

The inequality $p^{r(X)} = [\kappa(P_\infty) : k] \leq p^{n(X)}$ (Lemma 1.7) may also be strict, as shown by:

Example 1.15. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$. If G be the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation

$$y^{p^3} = x + tx^p + t^{p^2}x^{p^2}.$$

Then $n(G) = 3$ and after the change of variable $w = tx - y^p$ we remark that G is also defined by the equation

$$-t^{1-p}y^{p^2} - t^{-1}y^p = t^{-1}w + t^{1-p}w^p + w^{p^2}.$$

So C is defined in \mathbb{P}_k^2 by

$$-t^{1-p}y^{p^2} - t^{-1}y^p z^{p^2-p} = t^{-1}wz^{p^2-1} + t^{1-p}w^p z^{p^2-p} + w^{p^2},$$

the residue field of the point at infinity is $\kappa(P_\infty) = k(t^{p-2})$.

We will now present some results on the forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 with regular completion equal to \mathbb{P}_k^1 .

Lemma 1.16. *If X is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 such that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$.
- (ii) X is the complement of a purely inseparable point of \mathbb{P}_k^1 .
- (iii) C is smooth.

Proof. We begin with (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is a consequence of [Rus70, Lem. 1.1]. The converse is clear.

Now we show (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii), the implication (i) \Rightarrow (iii) is clear. Suppose C is smooth. If k' is the smallest field such that $X_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$, then $C_{k'}$ is smooth. So $C_{k'} \cong \mathbb{P}_{k'}^1$, and $C(k) \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ [Liu06, Pro. 7.4.1 (b)]. \square

Remark 1.17. If X is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , then P_∞ is not k -rational. Indeed if P_∞ is k -rational then C is smooth at P_∞ [Liu06, Pro. 4.3.30] so it is smooth everywhere. According to Lemma 1.16 C is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 and X is the complement of a k -rational point of \mathbb{P}_k^1 , thus $X \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$.

Lemma 1.18. [Ros55] [Rus70] [KMT74, 6.9.2]

If G is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ such that $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ then either $G \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ or $p = 2$ and $n(G) = 1$.

Example 1.19. If $p = 2$, and if G is the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation

$$y^2 = x + ax^2$$

with $a \in k \setminus k^2$, then G is a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, and the regular completion C is defined as a curve of \mathbb{P}_k^2 by the equation

$$y^2 = xz + ax^2.$$

We remark that C is smooth (because it is smooth at P_∞), so according to Lemma 1.16 $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ (this follows more directly from the fact that C is a conic with a k -rational point).

Remark 1.20. We can combine examples 1.15 and 1.19. If $p = 2$, and if G is the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by

$$y^{p^3} = x + tx^p + t^{p^2}x^{p^2},$$

then $r(G) = 2$ and $n(G) = 3$. Moreover $G_{k^{p-2}}$ is isomorphic to the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation $y^2 = x + t^{p-2}x^2$, so $n'(G) = 2$. Thus we have constructed an example of a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ where the inequality $n(X) \geq \max(n'(X), r(X))$ (Lemma 1.7) is strict.

Example 1.21. If Q is an inseparable point of \mathbb{P}_k^1 , then $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{Q\}$ is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 with regular completion \mathbb{P}_k^1 . Moreover, if Q is not k -rational then X is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and if $\deg(Q) > 2$ then according to Lemma 1.18, X_{k_s} does not have a group structure. In this case $n'(X) = 0$ and $n(X) = r(X)$ can be arbitrary large.

Example 1.22. If X is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , such $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$ then $k' = \kappa(P_\infty)$. The converse is false: if G is the form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation $y^p = x + ax^p$ where $a \in k \setminus k^p$. Then C is defined by the equation $y^p = xz^{p-1} + ax^p$ in \mathbb{P}_k^2 , so $\kappa(P_\infty) = k[a^{p-1}] = k'$. And, if $p \geq 3$, then C isn't smooth (because $C_{k'}$ is not regular at P_∞) so C is not isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 .

1.4 Arithmetic genus of the regular completion

First let us consider a field k of arbitrary characteristic. Let a, b and c be three positive integers. Recall that the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_k(a, b, c)$ is defined as $\text{Proj}(k[x, y, z])$ where $k[x, y, z]$ is the graded polynomial k -algebra with weight a for x , b for y and c for z . If w is a homogeneous element of $k[x, y, z]$, we will denote $D_+(w)$ the open subset of $\mathbb{P}_k(a, b, c)$ consisting of the homogeneous ideals of $\text{Proj}(k[x, y, z])$ not containing the ideal (w) . Then $(D_+(w), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k(a,b,c)}|_{D_+(w)})$ is an affine scheme.

Let C be a geometrically integral curve of degree d in \mathbb{P}_k^2 . We denote the arithmetic genus of the curve C by $p_a(C)$. It is well known that $p_a(C) = (d-1)(d-2)/2$. In this Subsection we will generalise this result for some curves in some weighted projective planes (Proposition 1.24). I. Dolgachev has computed the geometric genus of a smooth curve in a weighted projective plane [Dol82, 3.5.2] under the assumption that the characteristic of the field does not divide the weights of the projective plane. But we need to compute the arithmetic genus of a curve in a weighted projective plane where one of the weights is a power of the characteristic (Theorem 1.25). Even though the result of Proposition 1.24 is certainly already known, we did not find a reference with an appropriate setting, so we include the proof here for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1.23. *Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic, let q be a positive integer. We write $S = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} S_d$ for the graded polynomial k -algebra $k[x, y, z]$ with weight 1 for x , 1 for y and q for z . And we write \mathbb{P} for the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_k(1, 1, q) = \text{Proj}(S)$.*

For any integer n , the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)$ is invertible and $H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)) = S_{nq}$.

Proof. First we will show that $S_{nq} = H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq))$ (in the case where $q = 1$ and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_k^2$ it is a well known fact). Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)(\mathbb{P})$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)$:

$$\begin{aligned} g|_{D_+(x)} &= P/x^{m_x} \text{ with } P \in S_{m_x+nq}, \\ g|_{D_+(y)} &= Q/y^{m_y} \text{ with } Q \in S_{m_y+nq}. \end{aligned}$$

We can suppose that $m_x = m_y = m$ (if this not the case, for example $m_x > m_y$, consider $Q' = Qy^{m_x - m_y}$, then $g|_{D_+(y)} = Q'/y^{m_x}$). The two local sections $g|_{D_+(x)}$ and $g|_{D_+(y)}$ coincide on $D_+(xy)$, so

$$g|_{D_+(xy)} = P/x^m = Q/y^m \in S \left[\frac{1}{xy} \right].$$

Then, in S we have the equality $x^m Q = y^m P$. So y^m divides Q , and $g = Q/y^m$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m + nq - m = nq$. Thus $g \in S_{nq}$, so $H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)) \subset S_{nq}$. Conversely, it is clear that $S_{nq} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq))$.

Next, to show that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)$ is an invertible sheaf on \mathbb{P} , it is enough to show that for $U = D_+(x)$, $D_+(y)$ and $D_+(z)$, the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(U)$ -module $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)(U)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(U)$.

Let w be x or y . The multiplication by w^{nq} :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}_{w^{nq}} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(D_+(w)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)(D_+(w)) \\ P/w^{\deg(P)} &\mapsto w^{nq}P/w^{\deg(P)}, \end{aligned}$$

has for inverse the multiplication by $1/w^{nq}$. So $\text{mult}_{w^{nq}}$ is an isomorphism.

For $D_+(z)$, the isomorphism is the multiplication by z^n :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}_{z^n} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(D_+(z)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)(D_+(z)) \\ P/z^m &\mapsto z^n P/z^m, \end{aligned}$$

where $P \in S_{mq}$. □

Proposition 1.24. *Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic, let q be a positive integer. We write \mathbb{P} for the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}_k(1, 1, q)$.*

If C is a geometrically integral curve of degree d in \mathbb{P} such that d is a multiple of q , then the arithmetic genus of C is:

$$p_a(C) = \frac{(h-1)(d-2)}{2},$$

where $h = d/q$.

Proof. Let n be a positive integer. According to the Riemann-Roch Theorem [Liu06, Th. 7.3.17],

$$\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(nq)) - \dim_k H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(nq)) = \deg(\mathcal{O}_C(nq)) + 1 - p_a(C). \quad (1.4.1)$$

According to [Liu06, Th. 5.3.2], if n is large enough, then $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(nq)) = 0$. We make this assumption throughout this proof.

If $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is the inclusion, and \mathcal{I}_C is the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$ that defines the closed subvariety C , then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves on \mathbb{P} . Moreover $\mathcal{I}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-d) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-hq)$, and the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)$ is invertible (Lemma 1.23), so in particular flat. Then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq - hq) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_C(nq) \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.4.2)$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves on \mathbb{P} .

As above, we can take n large enough, so that $H^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq - hq)) = 0$. Then the cohomological exact sequence induced by the sequence (1.4.2) is

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq - hq)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(nq)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(nq)) = \dim_k H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq)) - \dim_k H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(nq - hq)). \quad (1.4.3)$$

Next, we compute $\dim_k H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\delta q))$. As in Lemma 1.23, we write $S = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}} S_d$ for the graded k -algebra $k[x, y, z]$ with weight 1 for x , 1 for y and q for z . According to [Bou07, Chap. 5 §5.1 Pro. 1], $\dim_k S_{\delta q}$ is the δq -th coefficient of the formal series

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^2(1-t^q)} = \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} (l+1)t^l \right) \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} t^{iq} \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_k H^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(\delta q)) &= \dim_k S_{\delta q} = \sum_{l+qi=\delta q} l+1 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\delta} \delta q - iq + 1 \\ &= (\delta q + 1)(\delta + 1) - q \frac{\delta(\delta + 1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{(\delta + 1)(\delta q + 2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining the equations (1.4.1), (1.4.3) and the equation above we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\mathcal{O}_C(nq)) + 1 - p_a(C) &= \frac{(n+1)(nq+2)}{2} - \frac{(n-h+1)(nq-hq+2)}{2} \\ &= nqh + \frac{2h+qh-qh^2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally:

$$p_a(C) = 1 + \frac{qh^2 - 2h - qh}{2} = \frac{(h-1)(qh-2)}{2}.$$

□

We are going to apply Proposition 1.24 to the study of the arithmetic genus of the regular completion of the forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. This genus has been studied by C. Greither for a form X of \mathbb{A}_k^1 in the particular case when the minimal field k' such that $X_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$ is of degree p [Gre86, Th. 3.4] and [Gre86, Th. 4.6].

Theorem 1.25. *We consider k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and G be a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. Let $n = n(G)$ and m be the smallest integers such that G is defined by $y^{p^n} = x + a_1x^p + \dots + a_mx^{p^m}$. If C is the regular completion of G , then*

$$p_a(C) \leq \frac{(p^{\min(n,m)} - 1)(p^{\max(n,m)} - 2)}{2}. \quad (1.4.4)$$

Moreover, $a_m \notin k^p$ if and only if (1.4.4) is an equality.

In order to show this Theorem we are going to introduce a “naive completion” \widehat{C} of G . The “naive completion” will give us a geometrical interpretation of the condition $a_m \notin k^p$: this is equivalent to \widehat{C} being regular.

First we suppose that $n \leq m$. We denote the closure of G in $\mathbb{P}_k(1, p^{m-n}, 1)$ by \widehat{C} . Then \widehat{C} is defined by the homogeneous polynomial

$$y^{p^n} - (xz^{p^n-1} + a_1x^p z^{p^n-p} + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m}), \quad (1.4.5)$$

where x has weight 1, y has weight p^{m-n} , and z has weight 1.

If A is the graded k -algebra defined as the quotient of the graded algebra $k[x, y, z]$ (with weights as above) by the ideal generated by the homogeneous polynomial (1.4.5), then $\widehat{C} = \text{Proj}(A)$.

Let us consider the affine open $D_+(x)$ of $\mathbb{P}_k(1, p^{m-n}, 1)$, the affine variety $\widehat{C} \cap D_+(x)$ is the spectrum of $A_{(x)}$, the sub-algebra of $A[\frac{1}{x}]$ of elements of degree 0. Then $A_{(x)}$ is generated by $y/x^{p^{m-n}}$ and z/x .

If $Y = y/x^{p^{m-n}}$ and $Z = z/x$, then

$$A_{(x)} = k[Y, Z]/(Y^{p^n} - (Z^{p^n-1} + a_1Z^{p^n-p} + \cdots + a_m)).$$

Also $\widehat{C} \setminus G$ is a unique point that we will denote by ∞ . A straightforward computation shows that

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}, \infty}}{(z)} \cong \frac{k[y]}{(y^{p^n} - a_m)}.$$

If $a_m \notin k^p$ then $\frac{k[y]}{(y^{p^n} - a_m)}$ is a field, so \widehat{C} is regular, thus \widehat{C} is the regular completion C .

Let us consider the morphism $\widehat{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ induced by the projection $p_x : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,k}$. The scheme-theoretic fibre of this morphism at $[1 : 0]$ is $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}, \infty}/(z))$ so z is a uniformizing parameter of \widehat{C} at ∞ if and only if \widehat{C} is regular if and only if $a_m \notin k^p$.

If $n > m$, the construction of the naive completion \widehat{C} is almost the same, except that \widehat{C} is the closure of G in $\mathbb{P}_k(p^{n-m}, 1, 1)$. The curve \widehat{C} is defined by the homogeneous equation

$$y^{p^n} = xz^{p^n-1} + a_1x^p z^{p^n-p} + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m},$$

where x has weight p^{n-m} , y has weight 1, and z has weight 1.

And $\widehat{C} \setminus G$ is a unique point still denoted ∞ , such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}, \infty}}{(z)} \cong \frac{k[x]}{(x^{p^m} - a_m^{-1})}.$$

By the same argument as above $a_m \notin k^p$ if and only if \widehat{C} is regular.

Proof of Th. 1.25. Assume $a_m \notin k^p$. Then we have shown that \widehat{C} is regular, so (by unicity of the regular completion) \widehat{C} is the regular completion C . And according to Proposition 1.24, we have

$$p_a(C) = \frac{1}{2}(p^{\min(n,m)} - 1)(p^{\max(n,m)} - 2).$$

On the other hand, if $a_m \in k^p$, then \widehat{C} is not normal. If $\pi : C \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ is the normalisation morphism, then there is an exact sequence of sheaves on \widehat{C} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{C}} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{F} is a nontrivial sheaf with support ∞ . So $p_a(C) = p_a(\widehat{C}) - \dim_k H^0(\widehat{C}, \mathcal{F})$, and therefore

$$p_a(C) < p_a(\widehat{C}) = \frac{1}{2}(p^{\min(n,m)} - 1)(p^{\max(n,m)} - 2).$$

□

2 Picard group of the forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1

2.1 Picard group of the forms of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and of their regular completion

We consider X a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , and C the regular completion of X . In this Subsection we will link the Picard group of X to the Picard group of C by adapting the argument of [KMT74, Th. 6.10.1]. The curves C and X are regular, so we can identify the Picard group with the divisor class group, thus we write $[P_\infty]$ for the class of the point P_∞ in the Picard group of C . The following sequences are exact [Har13, Pro. II.6.5]:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[P_\infty] \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.1.1)$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow m(X)\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.1.2)$$

where $m(X)$ is the invariant of X defined in 1.5.

By combining the two exact sequences (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow m(X)\mathbb{Z}/p^{r(X)}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1.3)$$

Example 2.1. As in Example 1.19, let $p = 2$. We consider the form G of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation $y^2 = x + ax^2$ where $a \notin k^2$, then $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$, and $\text{Pic}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

More generally, if Q be a purely inseparable point of \mathbb{P}_k^1 , then $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1 \setminus \{Q\}$ is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 and $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\deg(Q)\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.2. Let k be a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$. We consider the form G of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ defined by the equation $y^p = x + ax^p$ where $a \notin k^p$.

We write P_0 for the neutral element of G . The morphism

$$P \in G(k) \mapsto [P] - [P_0] \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$$

is injective [KMT74, Th. 6.7.9], so if $G(k)$ is infinite (e.g. if k is separably closed), then $\text{Pic}(G)$ is an infinite group (Recall that over a perfect field, the Picard group of an affine connected smooth algebraic group is finite [San81, Lem. 6.9]).

Remark 2.3. For every extension K of k , there is a regular completion C^K of X_K which is not necessary the base change C_K (if K is not a separable extension of k , then it can happen that C_K is no longer regular). So there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(C^K) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_K) \rightarrow m(X_K)\mathbb{Z}/p^{r(X_K)}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

This motivates the study of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ which is going to be done in Section 4.

2.2 Proof of the main theorem

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 .*

- (i) $\text{Pic}(X)$ is $p^{n(X)}$ -torsion.
- (ii) If X has a k -rational point (e.g. X is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ or $k = k_s$), then $\text{Pic}(X) \neq \{0\}$.

Proof. (i) We write n for $n(X)$. The n th relative Frobenius morphism $F_X^n : X \rightarrow X^{(p^n)}$ is a finite surjective map of degree p^n . We will write f for F_X^n . If Z is a cycle of codimension 1 on X , then f_*Z is a cycle of codimension 1 on $X^{(p^n)}$ [Liu06, Cor. 8.2.6]. A direct consequence of the definition of f is that f is injective on topological spaces, so $f^*f_*Z = \deg(f)Z = p^n Z$ [Liu06, Pro. 7.1.38]. Moreover $X^{(p^n)} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k^{p^n}}^1$, so $f_*Z = 0$ in $\text{Pic}(X^{(p^n)})$. Thus $f^*f_*Z = p^{n(X)}Z = 0$ in $\text{Pic}(X)$, and the group $\text{Pic}(X)$ is of $p^{n(X)}$ -torsion.

(ii) If there is a k -rational point on X then $m(X) = 1$. By hypothesis X is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , so P_∞ is a non k -rational purely inseparable point (Remark 1.17), and $\mathbb{Z}[P_\infty]$ is a strict subgroup of $\text{Pic}(C)$. So $\text{Pic}(X)$ is nontrivial. \square

We will now use the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.4 (i) to obtain an upper bound on the torsion of other Picard groups.

We consider Y an affine geometrically integral algebraic variety of dimension d . First, remark that the definition of the n th relative Frobenius morphism stated in Subsection 1.1 extends to the setting of every affine k -scheme. So in particular, $F_Y^n : Y \rightarrow Y^{(p^n)}$ is well defined and is a finite morphism of degree p^{dn} . Next, we denote the smallest non-negative integer n such that $Y^{(p^n)} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k^{p^n}}^d$ by $n(Y)$ (if it exists). This notation coincides with that of Subsection 1.1 if Y is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 .

Lemma 2.5. *The integer $n(Y)$ is well defined in the following cases:*

- (i) Y is a smooth connected unipotent algebraic group.
- (ii) Y is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^2 .

Proof. For (i) see [DG70, Cor. IV § 2 3.9] and [DG70, Th. IV §4 4.1]. For (ii) see [Kam75, Th. 3]. \square

The following proposition is obtained by arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.4 (i).

Proposition 2.6. (i) *If U is a smooth connected unipotent algebraic group of dimension d , then $\text{Pic}(U)$ is of $p^{dn(U)}$ -torsion.*

(ii) *If Y is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^2 , then $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is of $p^{2n(Y)}$ -torsion.*

(iii) *Let Y be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^d ($d \in \mathbb{N}^*$). If k is separably closed, then $\text{Pic}(Y)$ is of $p^{dn(Y)}$ -torsion.*

Remark 2.7. If Y be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^d with $d \geq 3$, then it is not known if there is a purely inseparable extension k'/k such that $Y_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^d$.

2.3 Examples of forms of the affine line with trivial Picard group

First, we give an explicit example of a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 with trivial Picard group.

Lemma 2.8. *Let k be $\mathbb{F}_2(t, u)$. If X is the form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 defined by the equation $y^2 = u + x + tx^2$, then $X(k) = \emptyset$ and $\text{Pic}(X)$ is trivial.*

Proof. In order to show that $X(k) = \emptyset$, it is enough to show that the only solution of $P^2 = uQ^2 + QR + tR^2$, where $P, Q, R \in \mathbb{F}_2[t, u]$ is trivial. We denote the total degree of a polynomial by \deg . If $\deg(Q) \neq \deg(R)$, for example $\deg(Q) < \deg(R)$, then

$$\deg(P^2) = \deg(uQ^2 + QR + tR^2) = 1 + 2\deg(R).$$

So $\deg(P^2)$ is odd, contradiction. So $\deg(Q) = \deg(R)$ and the monomials of highest degree of uQ^2 and tR^2 must cancel (if they don't cancel we have the same contradiction). But this is impossible because the monomial of highest degree of uQ^2 has an odd partial degree in u whereas the monomial of highest degree of tR^2 has an even partial degree in u .

After a field extension to k_s , by Proposition 1.12 X_{k_s} is isomorphic, as a scheme, to the nontrivial form of \mathbb{G}_{a, k_s} of equation $y^2 = x + tx^2$. We have seen in Example 1.19 that the regular completion of this form of \mathbb{G}_{a, k_s} is $\mathbb{P}_{k_s}^1$, so by uniqueness of the regular completion $C_{k_s} \cong \mathbb{P}_{k_s}^1$. Then $\text{Pic}_{C_{k_s}/k_s}^0$ is trivial, and $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ too. By [BLR90, Th. 9.3.1], $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0(k)$, hence trivial.

Moreover X has no k -rational point so according to Theorem [EKM08, Cor. 18.5] by T. A. Springer, X has no rational point on any extension of odd degree. Thus $\mathbb{Z}[P_\infty] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(C)$, and by exactness of the sequence (2.1.1), $\text{Pic}(X)$ is trivial. \square

Remark 2.9. The regular completion of the form considered in Lemma 2.8 is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{P}_k^1 .

We will now construct a family of forms of the affine line with trivial Picard group. Let G be a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{G}_{a, k}$. By Theorem 1.8 there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k} \rightarrow 0.$$

If η is the generic point of $\mathbb{G}_{a, k}$, then $\eta = \text{Spec}(k(t))$ and comes with a map $\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k}$. We write X for the fibre product $\mathbb{G}_{a, k}^2 \times_{\mathbb{G}_{a, k}} \eta$.

Proposition 2.10. *With the above notation X is a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{A}_{k(t)}^1$ and $\text{Pic}(X)$ is trivial.*

Proof. The morphism $\mathbb{G}_{a, k}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k}$ is a G -torsor. So $X \rightarrow \eta$ is a $G_{k(t)}$ -torsor, and in particular a form of $\mathbb{A}_{k(t)}^1$. If K is a separable closure of $k(t)$, then G_K is still K -wound ($K/k(t)$ and $k(t)/k$ are separable extensions, and being wound is not changed by separable extension [CGP15, B.3.2]). By definition of k -wound, G_K is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_K^1 . Moreover X_K is a homogeneous space under G_K , so X_K is a nontrivial form of \mathbb{A}_K^1 and in particular X is a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{A}_{k(t)}^1$.

Finally, at the algebraic level the morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k}^2$ is the localisation morphism

$$k[x, y] \rightarrow k[x, y] \otimes_{k[T]} k(T),$$

where $T = y^{p^n} - (x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m})$ is a polynomial that defines G . Then $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{G}_{a,k}^2)$ surjects onto $\text{Pic}(X)$ [Bou06, Chap. 7 §1 n°10 Pro. 17], thus $\text{Pic}(X)$ is trivial. \square

Remark 2.11. For every imperfect field k , with the construction of Proposition 2.10, we have an example of a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{A}_{k(t)}^1$ with trivial Picard group.

We recall that a smooth affine algebraic group G is said to be *special* if for any field extension K of k , any G_K -torsor $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ is trivial.

J.-P. Serre initiated the study of special groups over an algebraically closed field in [Ser58] and A. Grothendieck classified these groups [Gro58]. More recently J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc characterised special tori over an arbitrary field [CS87, Pro. 7.4], and M. Huruguen characterised the special reductive groups over an arbitrary field [Hur16, Th. 4.1]. It is known that $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ is special, by the arguments of [Ser58, 4.4.a], and more generally that every smooth connected k -split unipotent algebraic group is special. D. T. Nguyễn showed, under a mild assumption on the base field, that a smooth unipotent algebraic group is special if and only if it is k -split [Ngu13, Cor. 6.10]. In an unpublished note he generalised the result to an arbitrary base field [Ngu16]. We are going to show this result, in the particular case of the forms of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, by using a different method. It will appear as a corollary of our main Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.10:

Corollary 2.12. *If G is a nontrivial form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, then the $G_{k(t)}$ -torsor $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k(t))$ of Proposition 2.10 is nontrivial, thus G is not special.*

Proof. Assume that $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k(t))$ is a trivial $G_{k(t)}$ -torsor, then in particular $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \text{Pic}(G)$. But this is impossible since $\text{Pic}(G)$ is not trivial (Theorem 2.4), while $\text{Pic}(X)$ is trivial (Proposition 2.10). \square

3 Cocartesian diagram and Picard functor

The main result of this Section is Theorem 3.8, it is stated and proved in Subsection 3.3. In Subsection 3.1 we gather some auxiliary results on the unit group scheme, in Subsection 3.2 we show Proposition 3.7 that is the main tool for the proof of Theorem 3.8.

Throughout this Section S is a base scheme, we consider schemes and morphisms over S . And if X and T are two S -schemes we will write X_T for the product $X \times_S T$.

We will use this level of generality, in future work, to study the G -torsors for G a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.

3.1 The unit group scheme

For every morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$ proper, flat and of finite presentation, the functor

$$\begin{aligned} (Sch/S)^\circ &\rightarrow (Rings) \\ T &\mapsto \mathcal{O}(X_T) \end{aligned}$$

is represented by a S -scheme V_X which is smooth if and only if f is cohomologically flat in dimension 0 [BLR90, Cor. 8.1.8] (i.e. the formation of $f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ commutes with base change). Moreover the functor

$$\begin{aligned} (Sch/S)^\circ &\rightarrow (Groups) \\ T &\mapsto \mathcal{O}(X_T)^* \end{aligned}$$

is represented by an open sub-scheme μ^X of V_X , so it is an S -group scheme [BLR90, Lem. 8.1.10].

An important particular case is the following. If k is a field of arbitrary characteristic, and A is a k -algebra of finite dimension (as a k -vector space). Then the group functor

$$\begin{aligned} (k\text{-algebras}) &\rightarrow (\text{Groups}) \\ R &\mapsto (A \otimes_k R)^* \end{aligned}$$

is represented by an affine smooth commutative connected algebraic group denoted μ^A whose Lie algebra is A with the trivial bracket [DG70, II §1 2.3].

Remark 3.1. If k is a field of arbitrary characteristic, then μ^k is the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$.

More generally, if A is a k -algebra of finite dimension, then $\mu^A = R_{A/k}(\mathbb{G}_{m,A})$, where $R_{A/k}$ is the Weil restriction.

Remark 3.2. If $A \subset A'$ are two k -algebras of finite dimension, then the inclusion $f : A \hookrightarrow A'$ induces a morphism of algebraic groups $f^* : \mu^A \rightarrow \mu^{A'}$ which is injective on \bar{k} -rational points and induces an injection on the Lie algebras. So the scheme theoretic kernel of f^* is trivial, and f^* is a closed immersion [DG70, II §5 5.1].

The co-kernel of f^* is a smooth commutative connected affine algebraic group denoted $\mu^{A'/A}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let k be a field of arbitrary characteristic.*

(i) *For every local k -algebra A of finite dimension, we write M for the maximal ideal of A , and K for the residue field of A . We have an exact sequence of algebraic groups*

$$0 \rightarrow 1 + M \rightarrow \mu^A \rightarrow \mu^K \rightarrow 0$$

where $1 + M$ is a k -split smooth connected unipotent algebraic group.

Moreover if the residue field K is k , then this sequence has a unique splitting and we have a canonical isomorphism $\mu^A \cong (1 + M) \times_k \mu^k$.

(ii) *If $A \subset A'$ are two local k -algebras of finite dimension and having the same residue field K , then $\mu^{A'/A}$ is a k -split smooth connected unipotent group.*

Proof. (i) First we look at the composition series associated to the k -sub-algebras $k \oplus M^n$, the successive quotients are vector groups associated with the k -vector spaces M^n/M^{n+1} . So $1 + M$ is a k -split unipotent algebraic group.

The quotient map $p : A \twoheadrightarrow A/M \cong K$ induces a morphism of algebraic groups $\mu^A \rightarrow \mu^K$, then $\mu^A(\bar{k}) \twoheadrightarrow \mu^K(\bar{k})$, so $\mu^A \rightarrow \mu^K \rightarrow 0$ is exact and the kernel of $\mu^A \rightarrow \mu^K$ is $1 + M$.

Moreover if $K = k$, then $A = k \oplus M$ and the inclusion $k \subset A$ is the unique morphism $k \rightarrow A$. So there is a unique section of the morphism $\mu^A \rightarrow \mu^k$, and $\mu^A \cong (1 + M) \times_k \mu^k$ canonically.

(ii) According to (i), the rows of the commutative diagram below are exact.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 1 + M & \longrightarrow & \mu^A & \longrightarrow & \mu^K \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 1 + M' & \longrightarrow & \mu^{A'} & \longrightarrow & \mu^K \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

So there is an isomorphism $\mu^{A'/A} = \mu^{A'}/\mu^A \cong (1 + M')/(1 + M)$. In particular $\mu^{A'/A}$ is a k -split unipotent group (as a quotient of a split unipotent group [Bor12, Th. V.15.4]). \square

Remark 3.4. Let k be a field of positive characteristic.

If $A \subset A'$ are two local k -algebras of finite dimension, with residue fields K and K' , then $\mu^{A'/A}$ is not necessary k -split. For example, if $A = K = k$ and $A' = K'$ is a purely inseparable extension of finite degree of k , then according to [Oes84, Lem. VI.5.1] $\mu^{K/k}$ is k -wound.

3.2 Rigidified Picard functors

The main result of this Subsection is the exact sequence (3.2.1) which relates the Picard functor and the rigidified Picard functor.

We consider $X \rightarrow S$ a proper, flat morphism of finite presentation.

Definition 3.5. Following [BLR90] we will define the rigidified Picard functor. First we define a sub-scheme $Y \subset X$ which is finite, flat, and of finite presentation over S , to be a rigidificator (also called rigidifier) of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ if for all S -schemes T the map $\mathcal{O}(X_T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y_T)$ induced by the inclusion of schemes $Y_T \rightarrow X_T$ is injective.

Let Y be a rigidificator of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$. We define a rigidified line bundle on X along Y , to be a pair (\mathcal{L}, α) where \mathcal{L} is a line bundle on X and α is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}|_Y$.

Let (\mathcal{L}, α) and (\mathcal{L}', α') be two rigidified line bundle on X along Y . A morphism of rigidified line bundle $f : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ is a morphism of line bundle $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ such that $f|_Y \circ \alpha = \alpha'$.

We can now define the rigidified Picard functor as the functor

$$(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) : (\text{Sch}/S)^0 \rightarrow (\text{Set})$$

which associates to the S -scheme T the set of isomorphisms of rigidified line bundles on X_T along Y_T .

There is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \quad \mu^Y &\rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \\ a \in \mathcal{O}(Y \times_S T)^* &\mapsto (\mathcal{O}_{X \times_S T}, \text{mult}_a) \end{aligned}$$

where the map $\text{mult}_a : \mathcal{O}_{X \times_S T} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X \times_S T}$ is the multiplication by $a \in \mathcal{O}(Y \times_S T)^*$. There is also a map $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$ which forgets the rigidification and whose kernel is the image of δ .

According to [Ray70, Pro. 2.1.2] and [Ray70, Pro. 2.4.1], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^X \rightarrow \mu^Y \rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves for the étale topology.

Under the above hypotheses we can apply [Ray70, Th. 2.3.1], so the rigidified Picard functor $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is represented by an algebraic space of finite presentation over S .

In Remark 3.6 and in Proposition 3.7 we will present particular cases where $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is represented by an S -group scheme.

Remark 3.6. If $X \rightarrow S$ is cohomologically flat in dimension 0, then $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is represented by an S group scheme locally of finite type. Moreover if S is a field, then $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is represented by a S -group scheme locally of finite type [Art69, Lem. 4.2].

Proposition 3.7. *Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a projective flat morphism of finite presentation, with geometrically integral fibres and let $Y \subset X$ be a rigidificator.*

- (i) *The quotient μ^Y/μ^X is represented by an affine, flat S -group scheme of finite presentation.*
- (ii) *The functor $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is represented by an S -group scheme, locally of finite presentation.*
- (iii) *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^Y/\mu^X \rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.2.1)$$

is an exact sequence of S -group schemes, locally of finite presentation.

Proof. The Picard functor $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is represented by a separated S -scheme locally of finite presentation [BLR90, Th. 8.2.1]. Moreover $\mu^X = \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ and $\mu^Y = R_{Y/S}(\mathbb{G}_{m,Y})$, so the S -group scheme μ^Y is affine [DG70, Pro. I §16.6]. According to [SGAIII2, Th. VIII.5.1], the quotient μ^Y/μ^X is an affine S -scheme ($\mu^X \rightarrow \mu^Y$ is an immersion [BLR90, Pro. 8.1.9], so $\mathbb{G}_{m,S}$ acts freely on μ^Y). In addition μ^Y is smooth and of finite presentation over S [BLR90, Pro. 7.6.5], so μ^Y/μ^X is of finite presentation over S [SGAIII2, Pro. 8.5.8] and $\mu^Y/\mu^X \rightarrow S$ is faithfully flat [EGAIV2, Cor. 2.2.11 (ii)].

We are going to show that μ^Y/μ^X is an S -group scheme. If $m' : \mu^Y \times \mu^Y \rightarrow \mu^Y$ is the multiplication, and $p : \mu^Y \rightarrow \mu^Y/\mu^X$ is the quotient, then we have a morphism $p \circ m' : \mu^Y \times \mu^Y \rightarrow \mu^Y/\mu^X$ which is $\mu^X \times \mu^X$ -invariant. So according to [SGAIII2, Th. VIII.5.1], the quotient $(\mu^Y \times \mu^Y)/(\mu^X \times \mu^X)$ exists. By the universal property of the categorical quotient (the torsors are categorical quotients [MFK94, Pro. 0.1]), there is a unique morphism m such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^Y \times \mu^Y & \xrightarrow{p \times p} & (\mu^Y \times \mu^Y)/(\mu^X \times \mu^X) \\ & \searrow p \circ m' & \downarrow m \\ & & \mu^Y/\mu^X \end{array}$$

is commutative. Moreover $(\mu^Y \times \mu^Y)/(\mu^X \times \mu^X) = \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X$, so we have shown that there is a morphism $m : \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X \rightarrow \mu^Y/\mu^X$. Likewise, there are two morphisms $e : S \rightarrow \mu^Y/\mu^X$ and $i : \mu^Y/\mu^X \rightarrow \mu^Y/\mu^X$. We only have to remark that by the universal property of quotients, the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X & \xrightarrow{m \times id} & \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X \\ \downarrow id \times m & & \downarrow m \\ \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X & \xrightarrow{m} & \mu^Y/\mu^X, \\ \\ \mu^Y/\mu^X & \xrightarrow{e \times id} & \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X & \xleftarrow{id \times e} & \mu^Y/\mu^X \\ & \searrow id & \downarrow m & \swarrow id & \\ & & \mu^Y/\mu^X & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu^Y/\mu^X & \xrightarrow{id \times i} & \mu^Y/\mu^X \times \mu^Y/\mu^X & \xleftarrow{i \times id} & \mu^Y/\mu^X \\
& \searrow eof & \downarrow m & \swarrow eof & \\
& & \mu^Y/\mu^X & &
\end{array}$$

(where f is the structural morphism of μ^Y/μ^X) are commutative. Thus we have shown (i).

Let us show (ii). The morphism $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$ is a μ^Y/μ^X -torsor [DG70, Cor. III §4 1.8]. The S -group μ^Y/μ^X is affine, so by [DG70, Pro. III §4 1.9 a)] $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is represented by a S -scheme. Moreover recall that $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is an algebraic space [Ray70, Th. 2.3.1]. A consequence of [BLR90, Pro. 8.3.5] is that the morphisms of algebraic spaces between two schemes are exactly the morphisms of schemes.

There is only (iii) left, according to [DG70, Cor. III §4 1.7] and [DG70, Cor. III §1 2.11] if the morphism $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \times_{\text{Pic}_{X/S}} (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y)$ is faithfully flat of finite presentation, then $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$ has the same property. According to [DG70, III §1 2.4],

$$(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \times_{\text{Pic}_{X/S}} (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \cong (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \times_S \mu^Y/\mu^X.$$

Moreover we have already shown that $\mu^Y/\mu^X \rightarrow S$ is faithfully flat of finite presentation, so

$$(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$$

is also faithfully flat of finite presentation.

To conclude we remark that

$$(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \times_{\text{Pic}_{X/S}} (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \cong (\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \times_S \mu^Y/\mu^X$$

so μ^Y/μ^X is the kernel of $(\text{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S}$, hence (iii). \square

3.3 An exact sequence of Picard schemes

Theorem 3.8. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Y' & \xrightarrow{v} & X' \\
g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
Y & \xrightarrow{u} & X
\end{array}$$

be a commutative square of S -schemes, cocartesian in the category of ringed spaces. We make the following hypotheses:

- (i) *The morphisms u and v are closed immersions, the morphisms g and f are affine.*
- (ii) *The structural morphisms $X \rightarrow S$ and $X' \rightarrow S$ are projective, flat of finite presentation with geometrically integral fibres .*
- (iii) *Y is a rigidificator of $\text{Pic}_{X/S}$, and likewise Y' is a rigidificator of $\text{Pic}_{X'/S}$.*

Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^Y \rightarrow \mu^{Y'} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/S} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X'/S} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.3.1)$$

is an exact sequence of S -group schemes locally of finite presentation.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.7, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mu^X & \longrightarrow & \mu^Y & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Pic}_{X/S}, Y) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mu^{X'} & \longrightarrow & \mu^{Y'} & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Pic}_{X'/S}, Y') & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}_{X'/S} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

is a diagram of S -group schemes with exact lines. By [Bri14, Lem. 2.2] f^* is an isomorphism, and $\mu^X \cong \mu^{X'} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,S}$. So by diagram chasing the sequence (3.3.1) is exact. \square

4 Picard functor of the regular completion

4.1 Torsion of the Picard functor

Let X be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 , as previously we denote the regular completion of X by C . We consider a field K such that the regular completion of X_K is \mathbb{P}_K^1 (e.g. $K = k'$ or $K = k^{p^{-n'(X)}}$, $n'(X)$ being the integer defined in 1.3). The base change C_K is not necessary regular, but the normalisation of C_K is \mathbb{P}_K^1 because it is the regular completion of X_K , and the regular completion is unique up to unique isomorphism. We write $\pi : \mathbb{P}_K^1 \rightarrow C_K$ for the normalisation morphism. Following [Fer03] we show how C_K is obtained from \mathbb{P}_K^1 via “pinching”.

Let \mathcal{C} be the conductor of \mathcal{O}_{C_K} in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1}$, i.e. the sheaf of ideals of $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1}$ given by:

$$\mathcal{C}(U) = \left\{ a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mid a \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1}(\pi^{-1}(U)) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C_K}(U) \right\}$$

for any open sub-scheme U of C_K .

Moreover \mathcal{C} is also a sheaf of ideals of \mathcal{O}_{C_K} . We write Y^K for the closed sub-scheme of C_K associated to the sheaf of ideals \mathcal{C} . As C_K is regular outside of P_∞ , the normalisation π induces an isomorphism between $C_K \setminus P_\infty$ and $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \infty$ (where P_∞ is the unique point of $C_K \setminus X_K$, and ∞ is the unique point of \mathbb{P}_K^1 above P_∞). So as a set, Y^K is the point P_∞ and by construction there is a closed immersion $Y^K \rightarrow C_K$. Finally we write Z^K for the fibre product $Y^K \times_{C_K} \mathbb{P}_K^1$.

We have obtained a commutative diagram of K -varieties:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z^K & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_K^1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
Y^K & \longrightarrow & C_K.
\end{array} \tag{4.1.1}$$

By construction the diagram (4.1.1) is cartesian, in fact according to the scholium [Fer03, 4.3] the diagram is also cocartesian.

First we will give an explicit description of Y^K and Z^K . The morphism π induces a morphism of local rings $\pi_{P_\infty}^\# : \mathcal{O}_{C_K, P_\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}$ which is the normalisation. Let \mathfrak{C} be the conductor of $\mathcal{O}_{C_K, P_\infty}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}$ i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty} \mid x \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty} \subset \mathcal{O}_{C_K, P_\infty} \right\} = \mathcal{C}_{P_\infty}.$$

We have explicitly $Z^K = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}/\mathfrak{C})$ and $Y^K = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{C_K, P_\infty}/\mathfrak{C})$.

By construction the cocartesian diagram (4.1.1) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.8. Thus we have an exact sequence of locally algebraic groups over K :

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^{Z^K/Y^K} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C_K/K} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1/K} \rightarrow 0.$$

The neutral component of $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1/K}$ is trivial and μ^{Z^K/Y^K} is connected. So we have an isomorphism of algebraic groups over K :

$$\text{Pic}_{C_K/K}^0 \cong \mu^{Z^K/Y^K}.$$

In particular $\text{Pic}_{C_K/K}^0$ is smooth.

Remark 4.1. If $K = k'$, then according to Lemma 3.3, the algebraic group μ^{Z^K/Y^K} is k' -split unipotent, so $\text{Pic}_{C_{k'}/k'}^0$ is k' -split unipotent.

And if we look at points over \bar{k} we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\text{Pic}_{C_K/K}^0(\bar{k}) \cong \mu^{Z^K/Y^K}(\bar{k}) = \frac{\mu^{Z^K}(\bar{k})}{\mu^{Y^K}(\bar{k})} = \frac{\left(\bar{k} \otimes_k \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}}{\mathfrak{c}}\right)^*}{\left(\bar{k} \otimes_k \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C_K, P_\infty}}{\mathfrak{c}}\right)^*}. \quad (4.1.2)$$

Lemma 4.2. *If $K = k^{p^{-n'(X)}}$, then the algebraic group μ^{Z^K/Y^K} is of $p^{n'(X)}$ -torsion.*

Proof. μ^{Z^K/Y^K} is a smooth algebraic group, so it is enough to show that the group of \bar{k} -points $\mu^{Z^K/Y^K}(\bar{k})$ is of $p^{n'(X)}$ -torsion.

For every non-negative integer n , we have $\kappa(X^{(p^n)}) = k \otimes_k \kappa(X)$ (where k is seen as a k -algebra via the Frobenius morphism φ_k^n). By definition of C we have $\kappa(X) = \kappa(C)$. If $n = n'(X)$, then $\kappa(X^{(p^n)}) = \kappa(\mathbb{P}_K^1)$. Thus, $\kappa(\mathbb{P}_K^1) = k \otimes_k \kappa(C)$. With this identification, the image of $\varphi_{\kappa(\mathbb{P}_K^1)}^n : x \in \kappa(\mathbb{P}_K^1) \mapsto x^{p^n} \in \kappa(\mathbb{P}_K^1)$ is contained in $\kappa(C)$.

The discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}$ is defined by the valuation mult_∞ on $\kappa(\mathbb{P}_K^1)$, and mult_∞ is an extension of the valuation mult_{P_∞} on $\kappa(C)$. If $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}$, then of course $x^{p^n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^1, \infty}$. And we have shown that $x^{p^n} \in \kappa(C)$, so $x^{p^n} \in \mathcal{O}_{C, P_\infty} \subset \mathcal{O}_{C_K, P_\infty}$.

So according to the equation (4.1.2) $\mu^{Z^K/Y^K}(\bar{k})$ is $p^{n'(X)}$ -torsion. \square

To conclude we have shown the following result:

Proposition 4.3. *The algebraic group $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is unipotent $p^{n'(X)}$ -torsion, and $\text{Pic}_{C_{k'}/k'}^0$ is k' -split.*

4.2 Application to the Picard functor of the regular completion

Theorem 4.4. *If X is a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 of regular completion C .*

Then $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is a smooth connected unipotent algebraic group, which is $p^{n'(X)}$ -torsion, k -wound and splits over k' (the smallest field such that $X_{k'} \cong \mathbb{A}_{k'}^1$).

Moreover if X is a principal homogeneous space for a form G of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, then

$$\dim \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \leq \frac{(p^{\min(n,m)} - 1)(p^{\max(n,m)} - 2)}{2}$$

where $n = n(G)$ and m is the smallest integer such that G is defined by an equation of the form $y^{p^n} = x + a_1x^p + \dots + a_mx^{p^m}$.

In addition if X is a form of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ and $p \neq 2$, then k' is the minimal field extension of k such that $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ splits over k' .

Proof. The assertion on the torsion and the fact that $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is unipotent and splits over k' are direct consequences of Proposition 4.3. According to [BLR90, Pro. 8.4.2] $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is smooth and by [BLR90, Th. 8.4.1], $\dim \text{Pic}_{C/k} = \dim_k H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = p_a(C)$. The variety C is normal and geometrically integral, so according to [BLR90, Pro. 9.2.4] and [CGP15, Pro. B.3.2] the unipotent algebraic group $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is k -wound.

In the case where X is a principal homogeneous space for a form G of $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}$, the assertion on the dimension of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.25, in view of the fact that C_{k_s} is still regular [EGAIV2, Cor. 6.14.2] and that the arithmetic genus is invariant by field extensions.

We will now show the last assertion. For every field extension K of k such that $\text{Pic}_{C_K/K}^0$ is K -split, we consider $g : C^K \rightarrow C_K$ the normalisation morphism. We are going to make the same conductor base construction as in Subsection 4.1. We write \mathcal{C} for the conductor of \mathcal{O}_{C_K} in \mathcal{O}_{C^K} i.e., for the sheaf defined by:

$$\mathcal{C}(U) = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_{C^K}(g^{-1}(U)) \mid a \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C^K}(g^{-1}(U)) \subset \mathcal{O}_{C_K}(U)\}.$$

Then \mathcal{C} is a sheaf of ideals of \mathcal{O}_{C^K} , and of \mathcal{O}_{C_K} . We write Y for the closed subscheme of C^K defined by the sheaf \mathcal{C} , and Z for the fibre product $Y \times_{C_K} C^K$. We have a cocartesian square of K -varieties:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & C^K \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Y & \longrightarrow & C_K \end{array}$$

which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.8. So we have an exact sequence of algebraic groups over K

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^{Z/Y} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C_K/K}^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C^K/K}^0 \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\text{Pic}_{C^K/K}^0$ is a K -wound algebraic group. Every morphism from a connected smooth unipotent split algebraic group to a connected smooth unipotent wound algebraic group is trivial [CGP15, B.3.4]. Thus $\text{Pic}_{C^K/K}^0$ is trivial. So $C_K \cong \mathbb{P}_K^1$. By hypothesis $p > 2$, therefore $X_K \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,K}$ (Lemma 1.18). \square

4.3 Rigidified Picard functor

Let X be a form of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . We denote the regular completion of X by C and the unique point of $X \setminus C$ by P_∞ (Lemma 1.1).

A geometric invariant of X is the rigidified Picard functor $(\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y)$ where $Y \subset C$ is a rigidicator of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$. In fact the rigidified Picard functor has the remarkable property of being “invariant under cocartesian square”, i.e. if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{v} & C' \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{u} & C \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of rigidifiers, cocartesian in the category of ringed spaces, then according to [Bri14, Lem. 2.2], $f^* : (\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y) \rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{C'/k}, Y')$ is an isomorphism.

According to Proposition 3.7 the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu^Y / \mu^C \rightarrow (\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y)^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{C/k}^0 \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of algebraic groups.

Proposition 4.5. *If $Y = \text{Spec}(\kappa(P_\infty))$, then Y is a rigidifier of $\text{Pic}_{C/k}$ and $(\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y)^0$ is a unipotent k -wound algebraic group which splits over k' .*

Proof. The algebraic group μ^C is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{m,k}$, so $\mu^Y / \mu^C \cong \mu^{\kappa(P_\infty)/k}$ is a unipotent algebraic group which is k -wound according to Remark 3.4, and which splits over $\kappa(P_\infty) \subset k'$. The group $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ is k -wound unipotent and splits over k' according to Theorem 4.4. So the algebraic group $(\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y)^0$ is an extension of two k -wound algebraic groups, thus $(\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y)^0$ is a k -wound unipotent group [Oes84, V.3.5]. Moreover $\mu^{\kappa(P_\infty)/k}$ and $\text{Pic}_{C/k}^0$ split over k' , so $(\text{Pic}_{C/k}, Y)^0$ also splits over k' . \square

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Bruno Laurent and Lara Thomas for helping me to conjecture Theorem 1.25, as well as Jean Fasel, Philippe Gille and Burt Totaro for their suggestions. I would like to thank Masayoshi Miyanishi for informing me of the existence of the important reference [KM77] (after a first version of this paper was circulated), and for very helpful discussions. Moreover, I would very much like to thank Michel Brion for extremely useful ideas and remarks during the preparation of this article.

References

- [Art69] M. Artin. *Algebraization of formal moduli I. in Global Analysis, Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira*, volume 29 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. University of Tokyo Press, Princeton University Press, 1969.
- [Asa05] T. Asanuma. Purely inseparable k -forms of affine algebraic curves. In *Affine algebraic geometry. Contributions of the special session on affine algebraic geometry at the 1st joint AMS-RSME meeting, Seville, Spain, June 18–21, 2003*, pages 31–46. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2005.
- [Bas68] H. Bass. *Algebraic K-theory*. Mathematics lecture note series. W. A. Benjamin, 1968.
- [BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud. *Néron models*, volume 21 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Bor12] A. Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2012.
- [Bou06] N. Bourbaki. *Algèbre commutative. Chapitres 5 à 7. Éléments de mathématique*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, reprint of the 1985 original edition, 2006.

- [Bou07] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4 à 6*. Éléments de mathématique. Berlin: Springer, reprint of the 1968 original edition, 2007.
- [Bri14] M. Brion. Which algebraic groups are Picard varieties? *Science China Mathematics*, 58:461–478, 2014.
- [Bri15] M. Brion. On linearization of line bundles. *J. Math. Sci., Tokyo*, 22(1):113–147, 2015.
- [CGP15] B. Conrad, O. Gabber, and G. Prasad. *Pseudo-reductive groups*, volume 26 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2015.
- [CS87] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and J.-J. Sansuc. Principal homogeneous spaces under flasque tori; applications. *J. Algebra*, 106:148–205, 1987.
- [DG70] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel. *Groupes algébriques Tome 1*. Masson et Cie / North Holland, Paris/Amsterdam, 1970.
- [Dol82] I. Dolgachev. "Weighted projective varieties", *Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981)*, volume 956 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1982.
- [EGAIV2] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Seconde partie*, volume 24 of *Publications Mathématiques*. Institut des hautes études scientifiques, 1965.
- [EKM08] R. S. Elman, N. Karpenko, and A. Merkurjev. *The algebraic and geometric theory of quadratic forms*, volume 56 of *Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Soc., 2008.
- [Fer03] D. Ferrand. Conducteur, descente et pincement. *Bulletin de la société mathématique de France*, 131 (4):553–585, 2003.
- [Gre86] C. Greither. Forms of the affine line and their genus. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 39:105–118, 1986.
- [Gro58] A. Grothendieck. Torsion homologique et sections rationnelles. *Séminaire Claude Chevalley 3*, 1958. exposé n°5.
- [GW10] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn. *Algebraic geometry I. Schemes. With examples and exercises*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 2010.
- [Har13] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2013.
- [Hur16] M. Huruguen. Special reductive groups over an arbitrary field. *Transformation Groups*, 21(4):1079–1104, 2016.
- [Kam75] T. Kambayashi. On the absence of nontrivial separable forms of the affine plane. *J. of algebra*, 35:449–456, 1975.
- [KM77] T. Kambayashi and M. Miyanishi. *On forms of the affine line over a field.*, volume 10 of *Lectures in Mathematics. Dept. of Mathematics, Kyoto University*. Tokyo: Kinokuniya Book-Store Co., Ltd., 1977.
- [KMT74] T. Kambayashi, M. Miyanishi, and M. Takeuchi. *Unipotent Algebraic groups*, volume 414 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1974.

- [Liu06] Q. Liu. *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford graduate texts in mathematics*. Oxford University Press, second edition, 2006.
- [MFK94] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34 of *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* Springer, third edition, 1994.
- [Ngu13] D. T. Nguyễn. On the essential dimension of unipotent algebraic groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 217(3):432 – 448, 2013.
- [Ngu16] D. T. Nguyễn. A note on special unipotent groups. Unpublished note, 2016.
- [Oes84] J. Oesterlé. Nombres de Tamagawa et groupes unipotents en caractéristique p . *Invent. math.*, 78:13–88, 1984.
- [Ray70] M. Raynaud. Spécialisation du foncteur de Picard. *Publications Mathématiques de l'I.H.E.S.*, 38:27–76, 1970.
- [Ros55] M. Rosenlicht. Automorphisms of function fields. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 79(1):1–11, 1955.
- [Rus70] P. Russell. Forms of the affine line and its additive group. *Pacific J. Math.*, 32:527–539, 1970.
- [San81] J.-J. Sansuc. Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 327:12–80, 1981.
- [Ser58] J.-P. Serre. Espaces fibrés algébriques. *Séminaire Claude Chevalley 3*, 1958. exposé n°1.
- [SGAIII2] A. Grothendieck and M. Demazure. *Schémas en groupes. Tome II, Groupes de type multiplicatif et structure des schémas en groupes généraux*, volume 152 of *Lecture notes in mathematics*. Springer, 1970. Actes du 3e séminaire de géométrie algébrique tenu au Domaine Du Bois Marie, Bures-sur-Yvette, de 1962 à 1964.
- [Tot13] B. Totaro. Pseudo-abelian varieties. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 46(5):693–721, 2013.